# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

| BLACK BORDERS
| IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
| FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
| BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
| SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
| COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
| GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
| LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
| REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/977,875	10/15/2001	Christopher D. Eckhoff	75622.P0048	3782
7:	590 08/25/2004		EXAM	INER
William D. Davis			JAMAL, ALEXANDER	
Davis & Assoc Box 1093	iates		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dripping Springs, TX 78620			2643	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Office Action Commence	09/977,875	ECKHOFF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Jamal	2643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  'HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 O	ctober 2001.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers		A	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ ob drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11102003</li> </ul>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
S. Patent and Trademady Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/977,875

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Apfel (5619567).

As per claim 1, Apfel discloses a variable DC feed characteristic for a SLIC that switches from a normal mode 401 to a modified mode 402 DC feed (Fig. 4). The normal mode is switched to the modified mode when Vab is less than or equal to threshold B.

The mode is switched back to the normal mode at threshold E.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,875

Art Unit: 2643

As per claim 2, curve 401 (APFEL: Fig. 4) is linear, defined by VBAT-Voff1, and has a slope corresponding to an impedance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apfel (5619567) as applied to claim 1.

As per claim 3, Apfel uses an open circuit voltage value (VBAT-Voff1), two relative thresholds (B,E), and a target voltage (VBAT-Voff3) to define linear portions 401,402. However APFEL does not specify using a target open circuit voltage in defining the load line.

Since the impedance (slope) of the modified characteristic (402 in Fig. 4) is the same as the unmodified characteristic 401, the line could be defined by any current/voltage point (open circuit or loaded) relative to VBAT-Voff1 and still obtain the same characteristic curve. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application to define the characteristic 402 with any voltage/current relative to the characteristic 401 as a matter of design choice.

As per **claim 4**, claim rejected for same reasons as claims 2,3. The impedance (slope) of both curves is equal (Fig. 4).

As per claim 5, Apfel (Fig. 1b) discloses the impedance (slope) is 400 ohms (approximately 320 ohms).

6. Claims 6-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apfel (5619567), and further in view of Zhou (5878133).

As per **claim 6**, Apfel discloses claim 6 for the same reasons as the rejection of claim 1. However, Apfel does not disclose using programmable registers to hold the variables that define the characteristic curve.

Zhou teaches a Digital Direct Current Feed control for a SLIC that uses registers to store values that define a characteristic feed curve (Col 7 lines 10-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application to digitally implement as much of the SLIC circuitry as possible for the advantage of providing a more easily manufactured product.

As per claim 7, Zhou discloses a DSP.

As per claims 8,9, claim rejected for same reasons as claim 2-4.

7. Claim 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apfel (5619567) and Zhou (5878133) as applied to claims 6,9.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,875

Art Unit: 2643

As per claim 10, Apfel in view of Zhou uses digital registers to store values used to define a characteristic curve. Apfel uses an open circuit voltage value (VBAT-Voff1), two relative thresholds (B,E), and a target voltage (VBAT-Voff3). However APFEL does not specify using a target open circuit voltage in defining the load line.

Since the impedance (slope) of the modified characteristic (402 in Fig. 4) is the same as the unmodified characteristic 401, the line could be defined by any current/voltage point (open circuit or loaded) relative to VBAT-Voff1 and still obtain the same characteristic curve. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application to define the characteristic 402 with any voltage/current relative to the characteristic 401 as a matter of design choice.

As per claim 11, claim rejected for same reasons as claims 10. The impedance (slope) of both curves is equal (Fig. 4).

As per claim 12, Apfel (Fig. 1b) discloses the impedance (slope) is 400 ohms (approximately 320 ohms).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 703-305-3433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2643

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9315 for After Final communications.

AJ August 19, 2004

CURTIS KUNTZ

TVISORY PATENT EXAMINER